GRAHAM & MARTIN, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

950 S. COAST DRIVE, SUITE 220 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 TELEPHONE (714) 850-9390 FACSIMILE (714) 850-9392

April 21, 2008

Sixty Day Notice of Intent to Sue LG Electronics USA, Inc. and All of Its Operating Affiliates Under Health & Safety Code §25249.6 served by Noticing Party Dr. Richard F. Sowinski

Dr. Richard F. Sowinski, a California resident (hereinafter the "Noticing Party") hereby gives Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq (the "Notice") to LG Electronics USA, Inc. and all of its operating affiliates (hereinafter referred to collectively as "LG"), as well as the governmental entities on the attached proof of service. The Noticing Party, Dr. Sowinski, lives at 1457 Ramsey Circle, Walnut Creek, CA 94597, telephone number (925) 938-2693. Dr. Sowinski is represented by counsel and requests that he be contacted at the following address: Dr. Richard F. Sowinski, Attn: Anthony G. Graham, Graham & Martin LLP, 950 South Coast Drive, Ste. 220, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, telephone number (714) 850-9390, facsimile number (714) 850-9392.

This Notice is intended to inform LG that it is in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5) (hereinafter "Proposition 65"), specifically Section 25249.6. Proposition 65 states that when a party, such as LG, an entity with more than ten employees, has been or is knowingly and intentionally exposing its customers and users of products it sells to a detectable level of any chemical designated by the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity (the "Designated Chemical"), it has violated the statute unless, prior to such exposure, it provides clear and reasonable warning of the exposure to the potentially exposed persons (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6).

In October, 2003, Dr. Sowinski filed and served several 60-Day Notices with the violators as well as the Office of the California Attorney General against the gas utilities operating in California noting that, contrary to the assertions of the gas utilities, benzene is not destroyed in the appliance flame and thus the public was not being adequately warned that significant amounts of Benzene bypassed the gas appliance flame unchanged and was being inhaled. In May, 2004, the Office of the California Attorney General requested that Pacific Gas & Electric provide a Proposition 65 Warning which noted that burning natural gas produces chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects and/or reproductive harm. Within two months all of the utilities complied. Despite these facts, four years later, LG, one of the leading natural gas dryer manufacturers, still fails to warn that burning natural gas in their appliances is known to produce a hazardous chemical known to the State to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.

In the ordinary course of business, LG, since at least April 11, 2004 through the present date, manufactures, sells and/or distributes throughout California natural gas clothes drying equipment listed on Exhibit A hereto ("the LG Products"). The LG Products produce, in the ordinary course of usage, hazardous emissions in significant amounts that poses a serious health risk to unsuspecting people. These gas dryers burn natural gas which produce benzene levels that exceed the allowable threshold exposure level set forth in California Health & Safety Code §25249.6. LG now and for at least the four years prior to this Notice has failed to provide its customers with a clear and reasonable warning of this

potential exposure to benzene, a chemical known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects and/or reproductive harm.

LG has been manufacturing, selling and/or distributing throughout California the natural gas clothes drying equipment listed on Exhibit A hereto as well as other models used throughout the years for at least four years prior to the date of this Notice. Each of these products, when used to dry clothes, emit vapors, gases and particles containing the following Designated Chemical: benzene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. Persons using the LG products will be exposed to this Designated Chemical primarily via inhalation, that is, by breathing in the chemical. LG however has not placed on its products nor anywhere on its internet website, a clear and reasonable warning that use of any such LG Product will expose the user to the Designated Chemical, Benzene. LG is therefore violating Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to LG sixty days before a suit is filed. With this letter, Dr. Sowinski gives notice of the alleged violations to LG and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to Dr. Sowinski from information now available to him. Dr. Sowinski reserves the right to amend this Notice to inform LG of other violations and/or exposures as it gathers further information. With the copy of this notice submitted to LG, a copy is provided of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary."

Dated: April 21, 2008

By:

Anthony G. Graham, Es

EXHIBIT A

LIST OF PRODUCTS:

Dryer Model Number:

DLGX7188RM, DLG0452G, DLGX7188WM, DLG3744W, DLEX7177WM, DLGX0002TM, DLEX0001TM, DLG9588WM, DLGX8388NM, DLG8388NM, DLEX8377NM, DLG5966G, DLG3744S, DLG7188RM, DLGX8388WM, DLG5966W, DLG2526W, DLG8388WM, DLG0452W, DLE0442W, DLG9588, DLE9577

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

- I, Anthony G. Graham, hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am member of the State Bar of California, a partner of the law firm of Graham & Martin, LLP, and attorney for noticing party Dr. Richard F. Sowinski.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposures to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Costa Mesa, California on April 17, 2008.



OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
HAZARD ASSESSMENT
CALIFORNIA EN VIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the stanute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved that the warning must: (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employees total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that po in ignificant risk of cancer. For obemicals that are listed as known to the late to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70—year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposur-will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertaint factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the list e chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the dischargerable to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical hout does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" no observable effect test if an individual were exposed to such amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may brought by the Attomey General, any district attorney, or certain city tomeys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsu may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Anomey Ger at, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business cused of the violation. The motice must provide adequate information allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A not must comply with the information and procedural requirements specific regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 129 A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly to Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above irrit an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to penalties of up to 52,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the ness may be ordered by a count of law to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

Page 199

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's osition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

§ 14000. Chemicals Required by State or Federal Law
Have Been Tested for Potential to Cause
Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity, but Whi
Have Not Been Adequately Tested As
Required.

(a) The Sale Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1 quires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals formally requires to federal agencies to have testing for carcinogenicity or require toxicity, but that the state's qualified expens have not found been adequately tested as required [Health and Salety Code 2524

Readers should note a chemical that alreaders as been designated as known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity is not included in the following listing as requiring additional testing for that particular toxicological endpoint. However, the "data gap" may continue to exist, for purposes of the state or federal agency's requirements. Additional information on the requirements for testing may be obtained from the specific agency identified below.

(b) Chemicals required to be tested by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation.

The Birth Defect Prevention Act of 1984 (SB 950) mandates that the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) review chronic toxicology studies supporting the registration of pesticidal active ingredients. Missing orunacceptable studies are identified as data gaps. The studies are conducted to fulfill generic data requirements of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), which is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The studies are reviewed by CDPR according to guidelines and students promulgated under FIFRA. Thus, older studies may not meet current guidelines.

The existence of a dBLB gap for a compound does not indicate a total lack of information on the carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity of the compound in some cases, information exists in the open scientific literature, but SB 950 requires a pecific additional information. A data gap does not necessarily indicate that an oncogenic or reproductive hazard exists. For the purposes of this list, a data gap is still considered to be present until the study is reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Following is a listing of SB 950 data gaps for oncogenicity, reproduction, and terstology studies for the first 200 pesticidal active ingredients. This list will change as data gaps are filled by additional data or replacement studies.

ment studie.

For purposes of this section, "one mouse" means oncogenicity in mice,
"one rat" means oncogenicity in rats, "repro" means reproduction, "tera
rodent" means teratogenicity in rodents, "tera rabbit" means teratogenicity in rabbits.

Chemical	I esting Needed
Bendiocarb	one rat, repre, ters redent
Chloroneb	one rat, one mouse, repro, tera- rodent, tera rabbit
	repro, one ral
PCP Petroleum distillates, aromatic	one rat, one mouse, repro, sera rodent, tera rabbit

(c) Chemicals required to be tested by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Toxic Substances.

Under Section 4(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act, testing of a chemical is required when that chemical may present an unreasonable risk, or is produced in substantial quantities and enters the environment in substantial quantities, or may have significant or substantial human exposure.

posure.

For purposes of this section, "tera" means teratogenicity, "rtox" means reproductive toxicity, "one" means oncogenicity.

reproductive	•
Chemical	Tesiing Needed
Alkyl (C12-13) plycidyl ether -Amyl methyl ether	noz, tera noz, tera
Bisphenol A diglycidyl ether	DIC, NOX
Cyclobics.anc*	rica, icra
Glycidyl methacrylate	ten
1.6-Hexamethylene dissocyanate	TIOX, LETTA
N_Methylpyrrolidone	onc, nox, ten
Phenol	no.

"The Toxic Substances Condition and the CLS. Environmental Protection Agency's review of the testing programs data is currently underway.

(d) Chemicals required to be tested by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for the regulation of pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). FIFRA requires EPA to register pesticides based on data adequate to demonstrate that they will not result in unreasonable adverse effects to people on the environment when used in accordance with their EPA-approved labels.

in 1988, FIFRA was amended to surengthen EPA's pessicide regulatory authority and responsibilities to reregister pesticides registered prior to 1984 to ensure they meet today's stringent scientific and regulatory sundards. Reregistration requires registrants to develop up-to-date data bases for each pesticide active ingredient. As part of the reregistration process, modifications may be retaide to registrations. Tabels or tolerances to ensure they are protective of human health and the environment Also. reregistration reviews will identify any posticides where regulatory action may be necessary to deal with unreasonable risks. EPA has been directed to accelerate the recegistration process to that the entire process is completed by 1997. The 1988 amendments set out a five-phase schoolule to accomplish this task with deadlines applying to both penicide registrants and the EPA. These amendments are requiring a substantial number of new studies to be conducted and old studies to be reformatted for EPA review to ensure they are a dequate. EPA may, in the future, request additional data or information to further evaluate array concerns over the safety of pesticide products.

The chemicals listed below are those for which data are unavailable or inadequate to characterize oneogenicity, teratogenicity, or reproductive effects potential. For purposes of this section, "one" means oneogenicity, "tera" means teratogenicity, and "repro" means reproductive toxicity.

ity.	D D
Chemical	Data Requirements
Acrolein	DOC, LETT
Alkyl imidazolines	LETE
Ашенув	tebro, sen
4-Aminopyridine	ouc' tchto' fets
4—T—Amylphenol	onc, repro
Aquashade	onc, repro, terra
Bensulide	DOC, repro, term
Benzisothiazoline-3-one	DOC, repro, terra
Brodilacoum	repro
Bromonitrostyrenc	ten
Busan 77	τεριο
•	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Chloriturenol methyl	. ten .
Chlorophacinone	ten
Chloropicrin	cooc' sebso
Chromated arsenicals	len.
Cyclosic	DOC
Cypermethrin	ooc, repro, tera
DCNA	TENTO, META
Dibromodicyanobutane	len.
Dictolop-methyl	COCC., MOTE
Dicrotophos	ORC., TEPTO
Dihalodialkylhydantoins	onc, repro, terra
Dimethepin	DOC, TEDEO, METE
Dinethyldithiocarbamate	ORC, IEDEO, LETTE
Dinocap and its compounds	kera
Diphacinone and salts	ORC, repro, sera
Diphenylamine	ORC, LETS
Dipropyl isocinchomeronate	repro
Diuron	Onc
•	

•	
Chemical	Data Remain
	one, repro, tera
Dodine	
Endothail and salt	опс, терго, іста
Etholumerate	ODC
Ethaxyquin	tera
FIURYAGE	
	tern
Fenthion	one, repre, tera
Fenvalerate	repro, tera
Fluvalinaic	
Hydroxy-methyldithiocarbamate	tera .
HAMO-1	
Imeralii	pac
Inorganic chlorates	one, tepro, tera
- i- ετιΙΠΙΩ .	onc, repre, ters
lodine-potassium iodide	tera
lodine-possessi	· Icra
Iprodione	one, repro, tera
lrgam	
• 3 -	оос, т ерг о
Lamprecióc	
\\de	bnc
Magnesium phosphide	onc
Malathion	ODC, 1278
Manch	tera .
MCPB and salts	, tera .
Melfindide and salts	tera ·
Mepional chloride	OOC, LETS
Meialdehyde	one, repre, term
Methoxychior Methyl isothiocyanate	tera ·
Methyl parathica	tera ·
Methyldithiocarbamete	терго
Mak 264	iera
Molinate	repro
Molimere	
Naphthalene	·onc
Naphaleneacetic acid	опс, терго
Naphthemate saits	iera -
Naproparaide	repro
to the support of the	DOC, LETA
Nicotine and derivatives	onc, tera
Nitrapyrin	onc, ters
4-Niuophenol	onc. repre, ters
**** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** ****	leri
Octrilinone	
Oil of Pennyroyal	icra
Ornsdine salts	onc, repro, tera ,
Ozadiszon	repro soc
Oxynuorien	OGC .
547	1
Pebulate	tera
Perfluidose	leri
Phenroedipham	000
- Land sells	tera
2-Phenylphenol and salts	oac, tera
nime cells	tera
	ten
Poly (hexamethylene biguanide) Poly (hexamethylene biguanide)	one, repro
Polycihoxylsted aliphatic alcohols	one, repre, term
Prometon	
Promision	ORC

Propechior

Chemical	Data Requirements
Propanil	one, repre
Propolamphos	len
Propiconazole	onc
Propylene oxide	ten
Pyrazon	onc, repro
Pyrethrin and derivatives	ODC, ICIA
Pyrimidinone	onc, tera
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Sethoxydim	onc
Siduron	one, repro, tera
Sodium finoride	tera
Sulfometuron-methyl	onc, terr
,	.• •
TET-containing compounds	onc, ten
TOMB	coc., repro, tera
Temephos	onc, ten
Tetrachlorovimphos	ODC
Terramethria	ooc
Thisbendazoic and salts	DOC, repro, learn .
Thidiszuron	ouc, repro, tera
Thiodicarb	ten
Thiophanate-methyl	conc., tera
Thiram	, coc
Trisdimeion	ouc, repro
Triclopyr and salts	DOC
111000//1	
Vernolaic	onc, repro
Revised: January 1, 1998	
· •	History
a standard enhantment of the C	EDEUTO Vine entitries rol. TA

Data Requirements

1. New section submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.8 (Register 19., No. 17).

2. Amendment submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.1 (Register 90., No. 2).

3. Amendment submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.3 (Register 91., No. 17).

4. Editorial correction of subsection (6) (Register 91., No. 31).

5. Editorial correction of printing error (Register 91., No. 43).

6. Editorial correction instituting inadventually omitted amendment. Submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.8 (Register 93, No. 20).

7. Editorial correction of printing errors (Register 93., No. 45).

93, No. 20).

7. Editorial correction of printing errors (Register 93, No. 45).

8. Amendment of subsection (d) filed 8-1-34, Submitted to OAL for printing on (Register 94, No. 31).

9. Amendment of subsections (b), (c), and (d) filed 12-23-94. Submitted to O/I for printing only (Register 95, No. 1).

10. Amendment submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Governm Code section 11343.8 (Register 95, No. 32).

11. Amendment filed 1-30-97; operative 1-30-97. Submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.8 (Register 97, 5).

12. Amendment of subscritors (b), (c) and (d) filed 2-13-91; operative 2-13-Submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Health and Safety Code sec 25249.8 (Register 98, No. 7).

[The next page is 201.]

Animal bioassay data is admissible and generally indicauve of potential effects in humans.

For purposes of this regulation, substances are present occupationally when there is a possibility of exposure either as a result of normal work operations or a reasonably foreseeable emergency resulting from work-place operations. A reasonably foreseeable emergency is one which a reasonable person should anticipate based on usual work conditions, a substance's particular chemical properties (e.g., potential for explosion, fire, reactivity), and the potential for human health hazards. A reasonably foreseeable emergency includes, but is not limited to, splits, fires, explosions, equipment failure, rupture of containers, or failure of control equipment which may or do result in a release of a hazardous substance into the workplace.

(b) Administrative Procedure Followed by the Director for the Development of the Initial List. The Director shall hold a public hearing concerning the initial list. The record will remain open 30 days after the public hearing for additional written comment. Requests to exempt a substance in a particular physical state, volume, or concentration from the provisions of Labor Code sections 6390 to 6399.2 may be made at this time. If no comments in opposition to such a request are made at the public hearing or received during the comment period, or if the Director can find no valid reason why the request should not be considered, it will be incorporated during the Director's preparation of the list.

After the public comment period the Director shall formulate the initial list and send it to the Standards Board for approval. After receipt of the list or a modified list from the Standards Board, the Director will adopt the list and file it with the Office of Administrative Law.

(c) Concentration Requirement In determining whether the concentration requirement of a substance should be changed pursuant to Labor Code section 6383, the Director shall consider valid and substantial evidence. Valid and substantial evidence shall consist of clinical evidence or toxicological studies including, but not limited to, animal bioassay tests, short-term in vitro tests, and human epidemiological studies. Upon adoption, a regulation indicating the concentration requirement for a substance shall consist of a footnote on the list.

(d) Procedures for Modifying the List. The Director will consider petitions from any member of the public to modify the list or the concentration requirements, pursuant to the procedures specified in Government Code section 11347.1. With petitions to modify the list, the Director shall make any necessary deletions or additions in accordance with the procedures herein set forth for establishing the list. The Director will review the existing list at least every two years and shall make any necessary additions or deletions in accordance with the procedures herein set forth for establishing the list.

(c) Criteria for Modifying the List. Petitions to add or remove a substance on the list, modify the concentration level of a substance, or reference when a particular substance is present in a physical state which does not pose any human health risk must be accompanied with relevant and sufficient scientific data which may include, but is not limited to, shorterm tests, animal studies, human epidemiological studies, and clinical data. If the applicant does not include the complete content of a referenced study or other document, there must be sufficient information to permit the Director to identify and obtain the referenced material. The petitioner fears the burden of justifying any proposed modification of the list.

The Director shall consider all evidence submitted, including negative and positive evidence. All evidence must be based on properly designed studies for toxicological endpoints indicating adverse health effects in humans, e.g., carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, neurotoxicity, organ damage/effects.

For purposes of this regulation, animal data is admissible and generally indicative of potential effects in humans.

The absence of a particular category of studies shall not be used to prove the absence of risk.

inherent insensitivities, negative results must be ree valuated in light of the limits of sensitivity of each study, its test design, and the protocol followed.

In evaluating different results among proper tests, as a general rule, positive results shall be given more weight than negative results for purposes of including a substance on the list or modifying the list in reference to concentration, physical state or volume, so that appropriate information may be provided regarding those positive results. In each case, the relative sensitivity of each test shall be a factor in resolving such conflicts.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 6380, Labor Code, Reference: Sections 6361, 6380, 6380.5, 6382 and 6383, Labor Code,

HISTORY

- 1. New stricks 5 (section 337) filed 11-5-81; effective thirtieth day thereafter (Register 81, No. 45).
- Amendment of subsection (d) filed 1-15-87; effective upon filing pursuant to Government Code section 113-46.2(d) (Register 87, No. 3).
- 1. Editorial correction of HISTORY 2. (Register 91, No. 19),

§ 338. Special Procedures for Supplementary Enforcement of State Plan Requirements Concerning Proposition 65.

(a) This section sets forth special procedures necessary to comply with the terms of the approval by the United States Department of Labor of the California Hazard Communication Standard, pertaining to the incorporation of the occupational applications of the California Safe Drinkin and Toxic Enforcement Act (hereinafter Proposition 65), as set forth i 62 Federal Register 31159 (June 6, 1997). This approval specificall placed certain conditions on the enforcement of Proposition 65 with n gard to occupational exposures, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California, An person proceeding "in the public interest" pursuant to Health and Safet Code § 25249.7(d) (hercinafter "Supplemental Enforcer") or any distri attorney or city attorney or prosecutor pursuant to Health and Sale Code § 25249.7(c) (hereinafter "Public Prosecutor"), who alleges the e istence of violations of Proposition 65, with respect to occupational c posures as incorporated into the California Hazard Communication Su dard (hereinafter "Supplemental Enforcement . Matter"), shall comp with the requirements of this section. No Supplemental Enforcement Maner shall proceed except in compliance with the requirement of t rection.

(b) 22 CCR § 12903, setting forth specific requirements for the contant manner of service of sixty-day notices under Proposition 65, in feet on April 22, 1997, is adopted and incorporated by reference. In action, any sixty-day notice concerning a Supplemental Enforcement her shall include the following statement:

"This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to cupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provision Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This proval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupate exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the duct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The proval also provides that an employer may use the means of compil in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with I osition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Adn tration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substitution orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney Gen

- (c) A Supplemental Enforcer or Public Prosecutor who commes Supplemental Enforcement Matter shall serve a file-endorsed on the complaint upon the Attorney General within ten days after filing the Court.
- (d) A Supplemental Enforcer or Public Prosecutor shall serve up Attorney General a copy of any motion, or opposition to a most

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 950 South Coast Drive, Suite 220, Costa Mesa, California 92626.

I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1.) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 24249.6;
- 2.) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary (only sent to violators);
- 3.) Certificate of Merit (with supporting papers sent to Office of Attorney General only).

by enclosing a true copy of the same in a sealed envelope addressed to each person whose name and address is shown below and depositing the envelope in the United States mail with the postage fully prepaid:

Date of Mailing: April 21, 2008

Place of Mailing: Costa Mesa, California

NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM DOCUMENTS WERE MAILED:

Teddy Hwang, President LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC. 1000 SYLVAN AVENUE ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632

And all entities on the attachment hereto

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and

correct.

Dated: April 21, 2008

SERVICE LIST

Public Enforcers:

The Honorable Tom Orloff Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

The Honorable William Richmond Alpine County District Attorney 270 Lazamic Street, PO BOX 248 Markiceville, CA 96120

The Honorable Todd Riebe Amador County District Attorney 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson. CA 95642

The Honorable Michael Rarrasey Butte County District Attorney 25 County Center Drive, Administration Building Oroville, CA 95965

The Honorable Jeffrey Tuttle Calaveras County District Attorney 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

The Honorable John R. Poyner Colusa County District Attorney 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

The Honorable Robert J. Kochly Contra Costs County District Attorney 725 Court Street, 4th Floor, Rm. 402 Martinez, CA 94553

The Honorable Michael Riese Del Norte County District Attorney 450 H Strest Crescent City, CA 95531

The Honorable Gary Lacy El Dorado County District Attorney 515 Main Street Piacerville, CA 95667

The Honorable Elizabeth Egan Fresno County District Attorney 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Holzapfel Glenn County District Attorney 540 West Sycamore Willows, CA 95988

The Honorable Paul Gallegos Humboldt County District Attorney 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

The Honorable Gilbert Otero Imperial County District Attorney 939 West Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

The Honorable Arthur Maillet Inyo County District Attorney PO Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

The Honorable Edward R. Jagels Cern County District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

The Honorable Ronald Calhoun Kings County District Attorney 1400 Wast Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230 The Honorable Gerhard Luck Lake County District Attorney 255 North Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

The Honorable Robert Burns Lassen County District Attorney 220 S. Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

The Honorable Steve Cooley Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Ernest LiCalsi Madera County District Attorney 209 West Yosemite Avenue Maders, CA 93637

The Honorable Edward Berberian Marin County District Attorney 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

The Honorable Robert Brown Mariposa County District Attorney 5101 Jones Street, P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

The Honorable Norman Vroman Mendocino County District Attorney 100 North State Street, G-10 Ukiah, CA 95482

The Honorable Gordon Spencer Merced County District Attorney 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

The Honorable Jordan Funk Modoc County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturna, CA 96101

The Honorable George Booth Mono County District Attorney Old Court House, Main Street Bridgeport, CA 93517

The Honorable Dean Flippo Monterey County District Attorney 240 Church Street, #101 Salinna, CA 93901

The Honorable Gary Lieberstein Napa County District Attorney 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

The Honorable Michael Ferguson Nevada County District Attorney 201 Church Street, Suite 8 Nevada City, CA 95959

The Honorable Tony Rackauckas Orange County District Attorney 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

The Honorable Bradford Fenocchio Placer County District Attorney 11:562 B Avenue Auburn, CA 95603

The Honorable Jeff Cunan Plumas County District Attorney 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971 The Honorable Grover Trask 11 Riverside County District Attorney 4075 Main Street Riverside, CA 92501

The Honorable Jan Scully Sacramento County District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable John Sarsfield San Benito County District Attorney 419 4th Street, Second Floor Hollister, CA 95203

The Honorable Michael Ramos San Bernardino County District Attorney 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415

The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis San Diego County District Attorney 330 W. Broadway Street, Suite 1320 San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable Kamala Harris San Francisco County District Attorney 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

The Honorable James Willett
San Joequin County District Attorney
222 E. Weber Avenue
223 Floor, Room 202
Stockton, CA 95201

The Honorable Gerald Shea. San Luis Obispo County District Attorney 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The Honorable James Fox San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

The Honorable Thomas Sneddon, Jr. Santa Barbara County District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

The Honorable George Kennedy Santa Clara County District Attorney 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

The Honorable Bob Lee Santa Cruz County District Attorney 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

The Honorable Gerald Benito Shasta County District Attorney 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Rodding, CA 96001

The Honorable Lawrence Allea Sierra County District Attorney 100 Courthouse Square, Second Floor Downieville, CA 95936

The Honorable James Andrus Siskiyou County District Attorney 311 4th Street Yroka, CA 96097

The Honorable David Paulson Solano County District Attorney 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533 The Honorable Stephan Passalacqua Sonoma County Diatrict Attorney 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Carol Shipley Stanislaus County District Attorney 800 11th Street, Room 200 Modesto, CA 95353

The Honorable Carl Adams Sutter County District Attorney 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

The Honorable Gregg Cohen Tehama County District Attorney PO Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

The Honorable Michael B. Harper Trinity County District Attorney PO Box 310 Wasverville, CA 96093

The Honorable Phillip Cline
Tulare County District Attorney
221 South Mooney Boulevard, Suite 224
Visalia, CA 93291

The Honorable Donald Segeratrom, Jr Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 South Green Street Sonora, CA 95370

The Honorable Gregory Tottan Ventura County District Attorney 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

The Honorable David C. Henderson Yolo County District Attorney 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

The Honorable Patrick McGrath Yuba County District Attorney 215 Fifth Street Manysville, CA 95901

The Honorable Rockard Delgadillo City Attorney, Los Angeles 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Michael Aguirre City Attorney, San Diego 1200 Third Avenuc, Suite 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable Samuel Jackson City Attorney, Sacramento 915 I Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Dennis J. Herrera City Attorney, San Francisco City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Richard Doyle City Attorney, San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Office of the California Attorney General Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting ATTN: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550